Enantioselective nanofiber-spinning of chiral calixarene receptor with guest $\ensuremath{\dagger}$

Yan-Song Zheng,*^{ab} Ao Ji,^a Xian-Jie Chen^a and Jin-Lan Zhou^{ab}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 22nd March 2007, Accepted 25th May 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 19th June 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b704234e

Chiral *para-tert*-butylcalix[4]arene bearing (S)- α -methylbenzylamine groups at lower rim only self-assembles with one of two enantiomers of 2,3-dibenzoyltartaric acid into coiled nanofibers and the coiled nanofibers only stack with the nanofibers having the same handedness to construct bigger ribbon-like fibers bearing porosity.

It is well known that nature uses mainly L-amino acids instead of D-amino acids to construct peptide chains in protein synthesis, and it uses D-sugars instead of L-sugars to spin fibers and form RNA or DNA helices. Although this kind of biomolecular homochirality using same handed molecules as the building units is an accepted tenet the origin of the homochirality in living systems is still a mystery and remains a subject of intense debate.^{1–5} Here we report that chiral calix[4]arenes **1a** or **1b** enantioselectively self-assembles with only one of the two enantiomers of 2,3-dibenzoyltartaric acid **2** into nanofibers having more than 95% diastereomer excess. Moreover, the initially formed coiled nanofibers can only stack with the same handed nanofibers to form bigger ribbon-like fibers bearing a porous structure. This finding mimics the biomolecular homochirality in nature not only at molecular level but also at nanometer level.

Calix[4]arene diamines 1 (Fig. 1) were synthesized by the reaction of calixarene dibromide with the corresponding amine.^{6,7} It was noted that a gel formed when the mixture of equal volumes of 1a (10 mM in CHCl₃) and D-2 (20 mM in CHCl₃) were heated to become a clear solution and left to stand at 20 °C for several minutes. But, the mixture of L-2 with 1a in chloroform was a clear



Fig. 1 Schematic structure of calix[4]arenes.

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, P. R. China.

E-mail: zyansong@hotmail.com.; Fax: +86-27-87543632; Tel: +86-27-87543232 solution upon heating and cooling, even when left at -10 °C for more than 24 h. Moreover, as expected, the mixture of L-2 with 1b, the enantiomer of chiral calixarene 1a, could give a gel, but the mixture of D-2 and 1b only led to a solution. This result unambiguously indicated that 1a and 1b could enantioselectively form gel only with one of two enantiomers of chiral compound 2. It is interesting to note that a gel also formed in chloroform using racemic 2, instead of optically pure 2, to interact with 1a under the same conditions as above. The isolated gel could be obtained in quantitative yield by filtration of the chloroform-gel through a Teflon membrane with 0.22 µm pores. Exceptionally, the excess of D-2 over L-2 in the isolated gel was found to be more than 95% d.e. by analyzing the ¹H NMR spectrum of the isolated gel in chloroform-d, just upon gelled once. Therefore it demonstrates that 1a can exclusively choose D-2 to form fibril even in a mixture of equal amount of D-2 and L-2. This result is especially outstanding because it mimics the biomolecular homochirality in nature although it has been reported that tartaric acids and their derivatives could form helical long superamolecular structures.^{8,9}

The solvents were scanned for gelation. Less polar solvents, such as dichloromethane, 1,2-dichloroethane, benzene and toluene could be gelled besides chloroform. The resulting gel in these solvents could survive repeated heating and cooling. Solvents which can form hydrogen bond with 2 such as diethyl ether, THF, ethyl acetate, acetone, ethanol, methanol and DMF could not be gelled. In addition, the gel instantly became solution if one molar equivalent of triethylamine (related to the calixarene, the same below) was added, but the gel reappeared when one molar equivalent of 2 was added.

Varying the molar ratio of D-2 to 1a from 0.5:1, 1:1, 1.5:1, 2: 1, 2.5 : 1, 3 : 1, and up to 4 : 1 a gel formed at all these ratios, but the gel at ratio of 2:1 was one that most easily formed. By ¹H NMR spectrum, the composition of the isolated gel upon gelled two times was determined to be 1.5:1 of D-2 vs. 1a when they were mixed at 2:1 molar ratio, which meant that the gel fibers formed at 1.5:1 molar ratio of D-2 vs. 1a. Interestingly, after the pH indicator 2, 4-dinitrophenol ($pK_a = 4.09$) in one molar equivalent to 100 molar equivalents relative to 1a was added to the 2:1 mixture of D-2 vs. 1a, the solution was yellow, but the formed gel upon standing at room temperature was white without any yellow color and even the filtrate of the gel was colorless. This indicated that the solution upon gelation had stronger acidity. This is accordant with the 1.5 : 1 ratio of D-2 vs. 1a in gel fibers. Because one molecule of acid 2 was freed upon every gel fiber made of three molecules of 2 and two molecules of 1a was produced. When the mixing ratio was 1.5 : 1 of D-2 vs. 1a, both solution and the gel were yellow. In addition, the element analysis value of dry isolated

^bHubei Key Laboratory of Materials Chemistry and Service Failure, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, P. R. China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental materials and methods, spectra and more images. See DOI: 10.1039/ b704234e

gel was closer to 1.5: 1 rather than 1 : 1 of *D*-2 vs. 1a. Therefore, the composition of the isolated gel is 1.5: 1 ratio of D-2 to 1a.

AFM, FE-SEM and TEM images of chloroform gel formed by 1a and D-2 revealed that it was ribbon-like fibers, and most of the fibers had very regular sawteeth-like motifs on the two edges of the fiber (Fig. 2A-2C). Interestingly, from AFM images in Fig. 2A and inset a, single-stranded coil and double-stranded helices could be found, which were also found in FE-SEM images (Fig. S5[†]). These single-stranded coils, as well as double-stranded helices, could stack side by side to form bigger ribbon-like fibers, which were clearly found in the higher magnified AFM image shown in Fig. 3. This kind of self-assembling process was demonstrated in Fig. 4. Therefore, the helical pitches on the two edges of the ribbon-like fibers could be obviously sighted, just like sawteeth. The chloroform gel from mixing of 1a and D-2 showed Cotton effect in CD spectra (Fig. S7[†]), which also indicated that the nanofibers were helical. To our surprise, among the formed singlestranded coils both left-handed (M) coils and right-handed (P) ones were found although the used components 1 and 2 were optically pure. But most of coils were right-handed for gel of 1b and L-2, and left-handed for 1a and D-2. This is different from gel fibers obtained from chiral single component, which are all same handed if optically pure single component is used.¹⁰ Very rarely, the P-coils only stack together with P-coils, and M-coils only stack together with M-coils side by side in forming bigger ribbon-like fibers, and the fibers stacked by inverse handed coils were not found (Fig. 3 and Fig. S15, S17[†]). It meant that supramolecular coils only recognized the coils with the same handedness in self-assembling bigger fibers, which, to the best known of our knowledge, for the first time demonstrated that homochirality not only resulted from chiral recognition of small molecules but also from that of supramolecules. Meanwhile, because the ribbon-like fibers were self-assembled by stacking of helical coils side by side and convex



Fig. 2 Morphology of self-assembled objects by interaction of 1 (5 mM) and 2 (10 mM) in chloroform. (A) AFM images of chloroform xerogel from 1b and L-2 on freshly broken mica ($19 \times 19 \mu m$). (Inset a) A double-stranded helix. (B) FE-SEM images of chloroform xerogel from 1a and D-2. (C and D) TEM images of chloroform gel from 1a and D-2.



Fig. 3 AFM images of ribbon-like nanofibers of 1b (5 mM) and L-2 (10 mM) in chloroform, in which only the same handed coils stack together to form bigger fibers ($1.6 \times 1.6 \mu$ m).



Fig. 4 Schematic illustration for formation of multi-stranded helical ribbon-like fibers.

by convex as illustrated in Fig. 4, there were many big caves in the ribbon-like fibers, and some of them were even very orderly arrayed (see the top-right fiber in Fig. 3) with a room of about $10 \times 10 \times 10$ nm. Due to the supramolecular chirality, the caves in the ribbon-like fibers should be chiral.

All the microscopic images showed that the fibers had a very high aspect with a length of about 3 μ m to 100 μ m and a width of about 30 nm to 300 nm which easily led to gelation of solvents. The diameter of a single-stranded coil was about 10 nm measured from TEM and AFM images (Fig. 2D and Fig. 3) so that the ribbon-like fibers were made of about 10 to 100 coils if there was just one layer of coils. Because the helical coils were mobile each other, the ribbon-like fibers were easy to roll up at the two edges along the longitude. The TEM image in Fig. 2D showed one

ribbon-like fiber with two rolled up edges, from which the wall of helical coil could be seen and the thickness of the wall was about 2.4 nm.

The powder XRD pattern of xerogel at 1 : 2 molar ratio of 1a vs. D-2 exhibited three sharp peaks at low angles corresponding to d-spacing of 2.2, 1.5, 1.2 nm (Fig. S19⁺). This indicated that the gel fibers have an orderly layered structure. The d-spacing of 2.2 nm is consistent with the TEM measurement, and is in accordance with the length of complex 3 of 1a with D-2, one of several possible 1 : 1 1: 2 complexes (Fig. 5a). Between two complex 3s, one molecule of 2 could be further incorporated by intermolecular hydrogen bonds to form 1D column and had 3 and 2 alternately arrayed (Fig. 5b-A). This accounts for the composition of isolated gel with a molar ratio 1.5 : 1 of 2 to 1. It seems that 2 acts like a molecule glue, not only sticking two calixarene 1s together to form complex but also sticking the complex 3s together to form a column, which is the same as the examples reported by Atwood et al. in which pyrenes were used as a molecule glue sticking calixarene tetramers together to form organic nanotubes.¹¹ It is possible that a 1D column of this kind can be produced by hydrogen bonds between ammonium groups and dibenzoyltartrate (or its acid), and other intermolecular forces, in addition to having enough room for 2 to stay between complex 3s due to the cone conformation of the calixarene. The columnar objects stack side by side to form a 2D tape, which is a mono-complex 3-layer structure, comparable with conventional bi-molecular layer assembly for mono-ionic amphiphiles. The tape coiled into single-stranded coil which stacked with other coils side by side to form double-stranded helix and even ribbon-like fibers (Fig. 5b).

In order to reveal the mechanism of enantioselective selfassembly, achiral calix[4]arene diamines 1c and 1d were synthesized. Under the same conditions, achiral calix[4]arene diamine 1c did not form a gel with D-2 or L-2 in chloroform, 1,2dichloroethane or benzene. But, achiral 1d gave a stable gel in benzene and precipitates in chloroform or 1,2-dichloroethane with either D-2 or L-2, without any enantioselectivity. Compared with 1a or 1b, 1c lacks one electron-donating methyl group in the substituent connected with nitrogen so that the diamine 1c will be less basic which may result in less association constant of complex 3 than 1a or 1b. In diamine 1d electron-donating methyl groups was used to replace electron-withdrawing phenyl groups in 1a, therefore 1d will be more basic and will have larger association constant of complex 3 than 1a. With an increase of stability of complex 3 it is easier to form gels or even raise precipitates. It has been determined by ¹H NMR titration that the association constant of complex of 1a with D-2 in solution is larger⁷ than that of 1a with L-2 probably due to steric factor by which one is complementary and another is repulsive, therefore the interaction between 1a and D-2 can form a gel but the interaction between 1a and L-2 did not. Leiserowitz et al.¹² have reported that the interaction between (R)-mandelic acid bearing a long alkyl chain and (R)-phenylethylamine is complementary so that it can afford crystalline layered aggregates, but the interaction between the corresponding (R)-acid and (S)-amine is repulsive which only gives an amorphous structure. Recently, Hayashi et al.13 have also demonstrated with X-ray crystal structures that the interaction between L-proline and D-proline is stronger than that between L-proline and L-proline due to one additional hydrogen bond so that the proline racemate is less soluble than L-proline. Our



Fig. 5 a: Proposed structure of complex of 1 with 2. b: Schematic illustration for the formation of supramolecular helix. (A) Column formed by the packing of 2 and complex 3 through hydrogen bonds which demonstrated the composition of isolated gel with a molar ratio 1.5:1 of 2 to 1. (B) Mono-complex 3-layer tap (a long lamellae) formed by packing of column A side by side. (C) A helical coil formed by coiling of mono-complex-layer tap.

conclusion is consistent with the results in the literature. In fact, after *t*-butyl groups of chiral calixarene **1b** were removed, the resulting chiral calixarene could not give any gels or precipitates in the aforementioned solvents, which also confirmed that both basicity and solvophobicity of the calixarene diamine play a key role in gellation.

The authors thank National Natural Science Foundation of China for financial support (No. 20072007 and 20672039) and thank the Analytical and Testing Centre at Huazhong University of Science and Technology for measurement of spectroscopic spectra and microscopic images.

Notes and references

- 1 J. L. Bada, Nature, 1995, 374, 594-595.
- 2 A. Saghatelian, Y. Yokobayashi, K. Soltani and M. R. A. Ghadiri, *Nature*, 2001, **409**, 797–801.
- 3 M. Klussmann, H. Iwamura, S. P. Mathew, D. H. Wells, Jr., U. Pandya, A. Armstrong and D. G. Blackmond, *Nature*, 2006, 441, 621–623.
- 4 R. Wesendrup, J. K. Laerdahl, R. N. Compton and P. Schwerdtfeger, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2003, 107, 6668–667.
- 5 S. C. Nanita and R. G. Cooks, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 554–569.
- 6 Y. S. Zheng and C. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1189-1192.
- 7 Y. S. Zheng and X. Qin, Chin. J. Chem., 2005, 23, 1289-1291.
- 8 R. Oda, I. Huc, M. Schmutz, S. J. Candau and F. C. Mackintosh, *Nature*, 1999, **399**, 566–569.
- 9 T. Gulik-Krzywicki, C. Fouquey and J. M. Lehn, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1993, 90, 163–167.
- 10 B. W. Messmore, P. A. Sukerkar and S. I. Stupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 7992–7993.
- 11 S. J. Dalgarno, G. W. V. Cave and J. L. Atwood, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 571–574.
- 12 I. Kuzmenko, R. Buller, W. G. Bouwman, K. Kjær, J. Als-Nielsen, M. Lahav and L. Leiserowitz, *Science*, 1996, **274**, 2046–2049.
- 13 Y. Hayashi, M. Matsuzawa, J. Yamaguchi, S. Yonehara, Y. Matsumoto, M. Shoji, D. Hashizume and H. Koshino, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 4593–4597.